



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/084,698	02/27/2002	Milind M. Kulkarni	CISCP271/5126	CISCP271/5126 6296		
22434	7590 11/18/2005		EXAMINER			
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			SALAD, ABDU	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI		
	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2157			
			•			

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		34,698	KULKARNI ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	ary Exam	niner	Art Unit	
	Salad	l E. Abdullahi	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appears or	n the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DATE OF crovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In this communication. Eximum statutory period will apply a d for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of the communication.	F THIS COMMUNICATIO no event, however, may a reply be ti and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the 	2b)☐ This action ndition for allowance exc	is non-final. cept for formal matters, pr		erits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)	is/are withdrawn from re allowed. are rejected. rd to.		·	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objected to the specific transfer of tran	is/are: a) accepted only objection to the drawing according the correction is re	g(s) be held in abeyance. See equired if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the3. Copies of the certified	ne of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority doc ernational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applicatuments have been received. Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail [52)

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

Response

1. The response filed on 8/22/2005 has been received and made of record.

2. Applicant's argument filed 8/22/2005 with respect to claims 29-43, 47-49 have been fully considered but are not persuasive because of the following reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-28, and 44-46 are allowed.
- 4. Applicant alleges in Magret there is only single home agent not plurality of home agents. Examiner would like to point a section of Magret which describes "Although the network illustrated in FIG. 1 illustrates only one home agent or foreign agent per site, a person skilled in the art should recognize that the network may conventionally support multiple home agents and/or foreign agents. A person skilled in the art should also recognize that the foreign network may conventionally support multiple mobile nodes with the same private IP address, and is not limited to only two" (see col. 5, lines 54-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698

Art Unit: 2157

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 29-43, 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magret U.S. Patent No. 6,856,624[hereinafter Magret] in view of Johansson et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0080752[hereinafter Johansson]

As per claim 29, Marget discloses in a Home Agent supporting Mobile IP, a method of processing a registration request from a Mobile Node that has roamed to a Foreign Agent supporting Mobile IP, comprising:

receiving a registration request from one of a plurality of Home Agents, the registration request being addressed associated with the plurality of Home Agents(see fig. 8 and col. 10, lines 8-27);

processing the registration request such that a binding between the Mobile Node and the Foreign Agent is created(see col. 5, line 62 to col. 6, line 10); and Marge is silent regarding:

receiving the registration request addressed to a virtual Home Agent address and sending a registration reply to the primary one of the plurality of Home Agents.

Johansson, discloses a route optimization technique for a mobile IP communications system including receiving a registration request addressed to a virtual Home Agent address and sending a registration reply to the primary one of the plurality of Home

Art Unit: 2157

Agents (see fig. 13a and paragraph 0142). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Johansson such as receiving the registration request addressed to a virtual Home Agent address in order to provide redundant mechanism for the home agents in the case of failure.

As per claims 30-31, Johansson discloses the method as recited in claim 29, wherein the registration request specifies a destination MAC address equal to a MAC address assigned to the Home Agent (see paragraph 0086)

As per claim 32-42, Johansson discloses the method as recited in claim 29, wherein the registration request specifies a destination IP address as the virtual Home Agent address (see fig. 13a and paragraph 0142).

As per claims 43, 47, 48 and 49, the claims include features analogous with features in claim 29, discussed above thus claims 43, 47, 48 and 49, are rejected same rational as claim 29.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS 11/14/2005

ABDULLAHI SALAD PRIMARY EXAMINER